


God's Water Bubble



Steven R. Brooks



There's water above, there's water below!

GOD'S CELESTIAL CLOCK FOR MAN

CONTENTS

<u>PREFACE</u>	<i>pp.5-7</i>
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	<i>pp.8-10</i>
<u>CHAPTER ONE: PHILOSOPHERS, MATHEMATICIANS, ASTRONOMERS & AND THE COSMOLOGICAL CONUNDRUM</u>	<i>pp.11-42</i>
<u>CHAPTER TWO: 1862-1969 (REDEFINING EARTH FOR THE “MASSES)”</u>	<i>pp.43-61</i>
<u>CHAPTER THREE: RESOURCES AND RESEARCH OF A GEO-STATIC, LOCAL UNIVERSE</u>	<i>PP.62-79</i>
<u>POSTLUDE:</u>	<i>PP.80-87</i>
<u>LIST OF LINKS:</u>	<i>PP.88-90</i>

Copyright © 2021 by Steven R. Brooks

All rights reserved. This book or any portion thereof
may not be reproduced or used in any manner whatsoever
without the express written permission of the publisher
except for the use of brief quotations in a book review.

Printed in the United States of America

First Printing, 2021

ISBN:: 9798741256367

srbrooks53@hotmail.com

[Http://Roland3.bandcamp.com](http://Roland3.bandcamp.com)

Preface

My earnest hope is to convey my honest interpretation of what I see and trust to be real and true, by eradicating present and past "indoctrination" of lies and deceit. Once I realized that I could think for myself, the "world" has changed and many more possibilities abound; at age 67, it's taken a while, right! Picturing Plato's allegory of the "Cave", I became aware of our ability to "step out" and take a peak behind the curtain of disinformation and behind the "shadow". Tears of sadness from my left eye and tears of joy from right eye, I now "see" and invite you to my world, to champion the quest for the light of truth.



As a layman, I feel no one needs a formal education to function fully and figure out things for themselves, if given the time and patience one may

need to get started. Time is wasted in school if there is no consideration in what one wants to be when they "grow up."

*Whatever one's "calling", follow it and educate yourself while being aimlessly indoctrinated by the "system." The earlier you start, the better it is for you. Schools should encourage a student's special abilities at an early grade school level and help acquaint each to further their quest for that specific discipline: **It's more important to follow your instincts and gut feeling than to be blindly guided by an impersonal cookie-cutter curriculum. Always know that your gut has more ganglion than your brain, so trust and rely on it for the rest of your life.***

My mind, as I write this, is open for true knowledge, always filtering out what I believe to be disinformation and misinformation from people and the media. I pray for protection, health and guidance daily for I want to be with him forever, as he guides me through my quest for truth (spiritual, not mans') and the Light. For now, Satan rules this earth and he has many followers, Man and the Fallen (Enoch's fallen stars). True knowledge of God's reality, what he has created for us, is contorted by man, wittingly/unwittingly, under the temptation of Satan; my acquired wisdom tells me to be safe and not sorry, (a hackneyed phrase, but a good one) for now.

I believe the bible is a literal work book for man, inspired by our Father. "His ineffable name is geometry that represents all dimensions." I prefer "father", as it draws me nearer to him in a spiritual manner as I pray in Jesus name. Aim for what you want and work consistently, a little each day, always thinking ahead but with both feet firmly in the "here now"; but, above all, keep your Father, our Father in your dreams and prayers.

This book will be important for those who are fence sitters with a constant "shifting" from good to bad, right and wrong, or, forever remaining static. Climbing off the fence, I decided to be a witness to the power and love of the Holy Spirit. As well, I would encourage each person to pray daily to our Father and pour out your heart to him knowing he would never judge you for what and who you are, now and forever. I share my research and honest opinion, hopefully via the guidance of our Father, in hopes of swaying those who are uncertain and to all (especially the lay person), towards the Light of truth. If faith is not enough, then see for yourself, as this writing unfolds into a reality of common sense. Once I remind people, especially those who are "directed" to me, that Satan rules this Earth for only a limited period of time, the seed of truth will open those blinded by our current indoctrination of what is "real" and begin thinking and educating for themselves and helping others in their path.

Introduction

Investigating whether we live on a Geo-static center of God's creation, was probably the last thing I would have thought of spending my valuable time on—not that I'm that busy these days as time swiftly passes by. I believed that we lived on the outskirts of the big city, The Milky Way Galaxy. Naturally the probability of other living, sentient beings was very high. It was not hard to deduce from there that aliens in their space craft (UFO's) were buzzing the skies of our earth. A gradual process of my imagination, disinformation and the subliminal had me believing that we were the creation of a "far reaching intelligence" from "out there" As I grew closer to God and gave myself to him, things started becoming more lucid and an inner feeling of comfort and reassurance was in my heart, soul and gut. It is a feeling that others have felt, justly translated via prayers, giving to others and holding one's head very level to the plane of Terra Firma. A closer look at NASA and tales of those great Giants of yore are examined here to learn what they have theorized about the vast universe, our home; they have postulated, theorized, and sought self- aggrandizement while stooping real low to plagiarize their peers.

Today, our "great minds" continue their seemingly circuitous, ever changing search for our beginnings, and end up with one sarcastic phrase called the "Big Bang". It is more about business than science these days. No one wants to jeopardize their cozy job by countering the "accepted" theories that big brother has deemed real for the masses. There have been recent experiments that reveal how sound can "create" a spark, light if you will, in an aqueous solution-- hence the closest thing to a "big bang"- on a **micro** level, of course. Similarly, God uttered, "Let there be light", on a **macro** level. The roots of the big bang theory began with the introduction of man's interpretation of how the universe began, ignoring the biblical explanation as if it was a book for children to understand (in a word, it truly was, from God's point of view). Yes, he gave us the brains to presumably figure out his creation via observation, mathematics and invention. He also gave us a heart (anagram equals Earth) but there is no balance of both and man's ego strays him away from the obvious truth, "we do not know anything," especially without considering his existence. His Word is as solid as the foundations of earth and very much real in this physical dimension of time and space. Most ancient philosophers leaned toward a Geo-static world, not in fear of being ridiculed or in a sacrilegious endeavor, but what their senses told them—what was common sense. Some astronomers, however, wanted to

use their intellect and tools to elucidate and theorize this mysterious universe for themselves, leaving man confused and leaving most with a cognitive dissonance—perhaps choosing man's word (world) over the word of our Father's. Making man less significant and creating countless theories that gradually disinforming the masses (whether wittingly or unwittingly) with a "New Astronomy" - beginning basically in the 15th century by Nicholas Copernicus. Again, it is my hope that those who think they need to see for themselves and that "Faith" is not enough, hear this little voice (my book) and then decide for yourself. Pick up the bible with a clear mind, eradicating what has been indoctrinated to you, your family and the masses; read and t his Word in a literal sense because the bible is not only a story about his law but a wordbook, an instruction and guide on how to live in his world created for all of us.


Philosophers, Mathematicians, Astronomers & the Cosmological Conundrum

I remember back in 2012 watching a video on You Tube about Flat Earth (not associated with The Flat Earth Society, for reasons I will come to) and I thought "Instead of a flying ball, now it's a disc traveling thousands of miles per hour!" I thought further about that video and started investigating from there. I read just about all books on Ufology beginning back in 6th grade, always searching. In my opinion, it was not until I began praying, did I look further into the "ET" craze and found that perhaps these Aliens are none other than the "Fallen" back in biblical days [1]. My daily prayers consisted of one that Jesus suggested to emulate if you had none of your own: The Lord's Prayer. Wernher von Braun (father of V2 & the Saturn Five that propelled man to the moon) is known to privately say that the Alien agenda is a hoax having the masses believe that they are here to save us from harm and evil forces; such an agenda reinforces (not that we haven't already been force-fed by Hollywood) the existence of beings from another dimension or a planet in one of the billion star systems that have and support planet(s). As a last breath testimony, Wernher's epitaph quotes Psalms 19:

"The heavens declare the glory of God, and the firmament (a vast solid dome separating the water above from the waters below) sheweth his handiwork." "All great truths are hidden under many layers of secrecy." Neil Armstrong, a Freemason and first to set foot on the moon, spoke those words in a cryptic speech in 1994 while giving a speech at the White House. What has been called a "conspiracy theory", coined by the CIA in 1967, entail all subjects and events that deny or question the indoctrinated "truth." Once stigmatized by this phrase, all believers and truth seekers are ridiculed, defamed or killed. The hidden truths of this world are heavily guarded by secret societies whose sole purpose is to follow the lead of all evil principalities. 18 years later, Armstrong died of a botched operation on his heart. The full story was hidden from us, but read further into his death, and you can decide for yourself, as to what really happened that day at a community hospital in Cincinnati, Ohio.

The deceitfulness and veil of disinformation began many years ago when philosophers and scientists were observing the multitude of fixed stars and the seven wandering stars that modern cosmologists call "planets". Ptolemy's elliptic orbits of planets around Earth were changed to concentric circles around the Sun by Copernicus. He stated that this would account

for the apparent retrograde motion of the planets. Our Father's model allows for these motions without the influence of the Sun. My model is our Father's model; just look up and see for yourselves as Ptolemy once observed. Once knowing the meaning of these elliptical patterns, one can know the lost secrets of the stars (mentioned in the Kolbrin bible and the Law of the Stars in the Book of Enoch, respectively). In an 8-year cycle, Venus draws the viewer a beautiful, 5-pedaled image. I wonder what Ptolemy would have deduced, if he was able to record the pattern in a time-lapse image, concerning the patterns of all wandering stars.



The Catholic church did not like the idea that Copernicus switched places of Earth and Sun, for it was antithetical to the bible. They realize that Earth was created before the Sun, thus reasoning that all should revolve around them (the Earth). Copernicus feared his hypothesis would be censured by the Church and decided to release his publication (*On the Revolutions of the Heavenly Bodies*) until his near death. His impetus to "center the Sun" was also influenced by his agreeing with Aristarchus of Samos' (310-230 BC) first known model that also placed the Sun at the center of the known universe with the Earth revolving around it. His "astronomical ideas were often rejected in favor of the geocentric theories of *Plato, Aristotle and Ptolemy.*" *Plato saw the Earth as a sphere, but his student, Aristotle, did not consider Earth a planet; but all believed Earth was in the center, without movement, as the bible clearly states in many passages: Job 9:6, Psalms 75:3, 1 Samuel 2:8, etc. In Charles Thomas' English translation of the Greek Septuagint, Genesis 1:9 is translated as saying, Let the water which is below the heaven be gathered into one "receptacle" and let the dry land appear.* The word receptacle reminds me of the nuclear testing that was ubiquitous back in the 50's and early 60's. "Operation Fish Bowl"

comes to mind as they were trying to crack the dome, so I believe, as do others.

Thus far, we have the Bible, inspired and protected by the Holy Spirit, Plato, Heraclides, Aristotle, Eudoxus, Ptolemy and Samuel Rowbotham believing that earth, (created on the first day and the Sun on the 4th day), began in the Center and bottom of the heavens. Tycho Brahe and Johannas Kepler formulated a hybrid model theory of the universe (all orbiting Earth for the exception of the "inner planets" which "decide" they should orbit the Sun, making it a heliocentric model). As the heavens spin clockwise above us, time is created and recorded- similar to today's analogue time pieces. Numerous bible verses speak of Earth as being fixed, which include the following passages from the King James edition: 1 Chronicles 16:30: "He has fixed the earth firm, immovable." Psalm 93:1: "Thou hast fixed the earth immovable and firm ..." Psalm 96:10: "He has fixed the earth firm, immovable ..." Psalm 104:5: "Thou didst fix the earth on its foundation so that it never can be shaken." Isaiah 45:18: "...who made the earth and fashioned it, and himself fixed it fast..." If all is taken figuratively, then one is essentially believing in the heliocentric model of the universe and have been duped or mislead by all astronomers who are on a "payroll." In America,

nearly 33 percent people polled believe the bible is literal; 50 percent said "the inspired Word of God," the remainder believe that the Bible is a collection of Man's word. In the Islamic nations, the Qumran is taken literally by nearly all; conversely, 41 percent of Protestants (excluding Catholic and Mormons) believe in a literal translation.

The heliocentrism of Copernicus is seen as "exalting the position of humankind in the universe (one word, one song, and one utterance, not the universe we have been educated that's real, both theoretically and visually) and pulling the earth out of the cosmic slump; conversely, placing the sun in the" central, yet tainted location." It is curious to me that one can take man's word of the heliocentric model literally and not God's word - the word that is obvious and simple to understand. Consider the movement of the earth's surface with respect to the planet's center. The earth rotates once every 23 hours, 56 minutes and 4.09053 seconds, called the sidereal period, and its circumference is roughly 40,075 kilometers. Thus, the surface of the earth at the equator moves at a speed of 460 meters per second--or roughly 1,000 miles per hour. As schoolchildren, we learn that the earth is moving about our sun in a very nearly circular orbit. It covers this route at a speed of nearly 30 kilometers per second, or 67,000

miles per hour. In addition, our solar system—Earth and all—whirls around the center of our galaxy at some 220 kilometers per second, or 490,000 miles per hour. It is literal from the word of god that the earth is not moving. Am I again to believe the above heliocentric model that leaves our solar system somewhere at the edge of our galaxy, in a less populated area? We are significant, not insignificant as "science" of today would tell us. *Did he create the earth for man or man for the earth?--think about it. Why would our Father create a source of heat and light "93 million" miles from Earth (terrarium) when it would be more efficient and logical to have a smaller light that is set closer to the earth?* The distance and size of the sun will be addressed by Samuel Robotham, later in this chapter.

Greek philosopher/scientist Philolaus of Croton (470BC-385BC) was keenly interested in astronomy and hypothesized a "Central Fire" that occupied the center of the "universe" with earth, sun, moon and stars orbiting above. His mentor, Pythagoras, devised a doctrine of planetary movement which, according to mathematical equations, the planets "resonate an inaudible symphony of music." The angel of music, "Sandalphon," prematurely gave man this gift and it has added another dimension to man's intellect, specifically that of Pythagoras. Philolaus' Central Fire was unfortunately misund-

erstood or conveniently contrived as meaning that the Sun was this Central Fire; Heracles (387BC-312BC) a Turkish philosopher and astronomer, proposed that the earth was a sphere that rotated on its axis. This was a simple variation of Ptolemaic astronomy (300 years later) that earth is central and stationary while the heavens are revolving. Heraclides "rotation" of earth was later to be incorrectly accepted that the earth was "orbiting" which would lend credence to heliocentrism. Plato (428BC-328BC) believed that the earth was at the universes' center and stationary while the sun, moon and stars are fixed to concentric, crystalline spheres rotating inside one another.

Euxodus (408-355BC) created the first model of a geocentric universe consisting of cosmic spheres like those of the Pythagoreans. Aristotle, grandfather of science (384BC-322BC) believed similarly with Plato, but did not believe the earth was a planet, as those of the 7 wandering stars called planets, by the Greeks. He could be called the father of a theorized flat earth or he was essentially agreeing with the bible. The 7 stars were recognized as the Sun, Moon, Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn according to classical antiquity and the bible.

To be certain, however, the 7 wandering stars are not planets according to the bible, especially Earth; Earth is excluded from the seven stars because it is not wandering, but fixed as the stars above; furthermore, there's no galactic movement as heliocentrism suggest, whereby our solar system is circling around the center of our galaxy. On the subject of "fixed" stars", the next time you look up at the star-lit sky at night notice how all stars are fixed, with no parallax. If the stars had varying distances, i.e., the rear stars (faint and small) would eventually lag behind the "closer" ones" and would soon be revealing a new set of "distant" stars. The discernable parallax that is detected arises from the earth's atmosphere. "Parallax angles of less than 0.01 arcsec can only be achieved by a space telescope which simply increases the numbers of stars to an accuracy of 0.001 arcsec (more on distances of stars later).

Aristarchus (310BC-210BC) identified Philolaus' "central fire" with the Sun and therefore placed it in the center, thus creating the first model of heliocentricity. Both men were of the Pythagoreans. Pythagoras is well known for his hypotenuse theorem of a right triangle, but little knows his finding that the Morning Star and Evening Star is both the "planet" Venus. All of his followers and students believed that while earth may be a sphere

like "all the rest of celestial bodies," all shared the view that earth, sun, moon and stars orbited the "hearth" or central fire. In summation, an analogy can be drawn here by comparing the central fire to an embryo with its radiant heat, giving warmth to earth as it orbited. I feel that this embryo is mother earth itself. Copernicus taught the theory of Heracles' theory of a spinning earth giving us the 24 hour clock, so to speak. He also drew from Aristarchus' interpretation of the "central fire" as being the Sun, thus "rejuvenating" this ancient Babylonian myth called heliocentrism. Ptolemy of course would have not accepted heliocentrism and it is unfortunate to mankind that he was not alive to counter Copernicus' borrowed theories of cosmology.

Hipparchus (190-120BC) is the father of Trigonometry: He may be ranked among the score or so of the greatest scientists who have ever lived. He was the inventor of the system of measuring the distance to far off objects by triangulation, or trigonometry which is used by our surveyors at the present day, and which is the basis of all the methods of measuring distance which is employed in modern astronomy. While attempting to measure the distance of a star from earth, the reader will perceive that to obtain any measurement by triangulation, it is absolutely necessary to have a

base-line, and to know its exact length. It is evident, also, that the length of the base-line must bear a reasonable proportion to the dimensions of the triangle intended; that is to say, that the greater the distance of the object under observation the longer the base-line should be in order to secure an accurate measurement. Communication then, of course, was limited to proximal parameters and such a long base-line of a few thousand miles was near impossible. *So, he was resigned to conclude that the stars were infinitely distant and all cosmologists thereafter assumed his findings were complete and totally correct: This assumption was the biggest blunder ever.*

Ptolemy (100BC-170BC) drew his model of the universe from 800 years of accurate observation from his predecessors, not excluding his own. His specific model entailed Earth's stationary centrality (geocentric model) but believed that the heavenly bodies' circular motions were caused by their being attached to "unseen revolving" solid spheres. Aristotle, again saw the earth as stationary but not a sphere or planet (wandering star). One can deduce that he may have been the first of the great thinkers to have said that earth is not spherical nor is it in motion (flat earth- Charles

Thomas' "receptacle" as translated from the Greek Septuagint).

Copernicus (1473-1543), was a mathematician who believed, or supported the heliocentric model with such determination and arrogance that every body soon accepted as the one and only "Holy Grail" model of the universe (unlike our Fathers handiwork as stated in the bible, specifically Genesis and Psalms but not excluding the aforementioned verses in previous pages of this book); 1543 is the official year of total acceptance of the new astronomy and 1822 for the Church. Copernicus knew that Aristarchus had replaced the Central Fire of Philolaus' model with that of the Sun, but he accepted this model and taught it to his followers, reinforcing the heliocentric model. Why so much importance of the sun being in the center? Was it because it was logical to assume that smaller bodies revolve around larger bodies, or to place Earth nearer the stars and heaven? The stars were assumed to be like our sun but much further away. Would then the fixed stars and planets be circling around another star, namely our sun? This is sheer audacity in my rational mind, but this book is open for anyone's opinion and only lends to open ones' mind but at the same time, holds true what is written by our Father, if possible. His publication, "On the Revolutions of the Celestial Spheres" was released just before his death, as he felt that

the book would not be accepted by his peers more so than that of the Catholic Church, for it accepted the heliocentric model up until the Protestant opposition which "led the Church to ban Copernican views."

Books written by both Galileo (1546-1642) and Copernicus were banned as they were considered heretical and a contradiction to the Church and more importantly, the Bible. I suffer a cognitive dissonance here because intellectually, God gave man the intellect to reason and explore his world with tools such as mathematics and invention; my heart, however, tells me that the bible is exempt from such delusional ideas that would contradict the "Word" and handiwork of his work. Copernicus' phrasing "that if the earth were in motion, then the observed phenomenon would result..", left him protected in case he was wrong and merely rebut and say his publication was hypothetical. Being a Catholic and Canon of the Church, Copernicus had many followers; pope Clement VII was said to have been receptive about his theories. After more "evidence" of earth not being in the center of the universe, the ban on Copernicus' views was lifted, as well as his book. This evidence will further be looked at as we continue with Galileo and his theories. It's curious how people want to interpret the Bible and preach those interpretations as though they were the teacher and not the student. It is

one thing not being able to understand parts of the Scripture, but it is truly blasphemous to reference it to substantiate one's theory, especially if that theory turns the Earth and its neighbors upside down or to play a game of musical chairs. 1616 was the year Copernicus' book, "On the Revolutions of the Celestial Spheres", was banned by the Church; 1616 is similar to the mark of the beast's number "616", the current accepted number in lieu of "666".

Without much ado about Galileo's contribution to astronomy, since that aspect of him is thoroughly etched in our children's brain, I want to show the reader how he and others alike, take the "Word" literally in some areas and figuratively for their own personal advancement in their theories. I suppose it would be fair if Galileo knew the true size and distance of our sun and how it circles the earth below (Samuel Rowbatham's plane trigonometry measurements, further in book), if he indeed believed such measurements and calculative endeavor. In Joshua 10:12, Joshua commands the sun and moon to stop for nearly a day while the Lord gave the Amorites over to Israel. There is "indisputable evidence that such an event occurred." In the ancient Chinese writings there is a legend of a long day. The Incas of Peru and the Aztecs have a similar record. Additionally, a Babylonian and Persian

legend of a day that was "miraculously" extended. Herodotus, an ancient historian, recounts that while in Egypt, priest showed their temple records, and that he read a day which was twice the natural length of any day that had ever been recorded. This passage was taken as a figure of speech not to be taken literally according to Galileo (apparently he did not do his homework). He borrowed Heraclides' theory of the rotating, center globe that we all are familiar with today, as it orbits the sun. He attributed this to why we have the oceans' tides. This too was not an irrefutable truth as we have Johannes Kepler's theory, that the moon's rotational pattern around earth causes such an event: yet another grand ole' theory to ponder on. Additionally, Cardinal Baronius had "quipped" that the bible intended to teach how we can get to heaven, not how the heavens go.

My suggestion, at this point, is to advise all to thoroughly investigate the "characters" in this book, being mindful of course, that much what has been written and taught is merely a surface expose and a deeper search is necessary as, in this case, "God's truth is in the details". Individual papers and abstracts from University archives is a start; and, now that you are aware of Satan and his minions of deceit, finding the truth, guided by the Holy

Spirit will be a commendable quest, but so much fun and exhilaration will be experienced—trust me!

Samuel Rowbotham, (1816-1884) whom took the Bible as a literal explanation of both our universe and how we became sentient beings. Again, it instructs us how to get to heaven, not how the heavens go. Yet he was determined to employ invention, trigonometry and sheer observational intellect to show how "flat" the earth truly is by measuring the distances of both sun and moon from Earth. In his model, Samuel has the Sun and Moon above the earth at approx. 4000 miles up and both are orbiting in concentric circles toward the pole or earth's center and reversing their course to the ends of the earth (Antarctica's great ice wall) which encompasses Earth's entire circle, to begin again, moving towards the center making possible the 4 seasons-the fixed stars and "wandering stars" too, only 6- thousand miles away from earth., "in the firmament." The following excerpt from his book, "Zetetic Cosmogeny" [2] is as follows:

THE TRUE DISTANCE OF THE SUN AND STARS.

... "As it is now demonstrated that the Earth is a plane, the distance of the Sun and Stars may readily be measured by plane trigonometry. The base-


line in any operation being horizontal and always a carefully measured one, the process becomes exceedingly simple. Let the altitude of the Sun be taken on a given day at 12 o'clock at the high-water mark on the sea shore at Brighton, in Sussex ; and at the same hour at the high-water mark of the River Thames, near London Bridge; the difference in the Sun's altitude taken simultaneously from two stations upon the same meridian, and the distance between the stations, or the length of the base line ascertained, are all the elements required for calculating the exact distance of the Sun from London or Brighton ; but as this distance is the hypotenuse of a triangle, whose base is the Earth's surface, and vertical side the zenith distance of the Sun, it follows that the distance of the Sun from that part of Earth to which it is vertical is less than the distance from London. In the Diagram, Figure 26, let $L B$ represent the base line from London to Brighton, a distance of 51 statute miles. The altitude at L and at B taken at the same moment of time will give the distance $L S$ or $B S$. The angle of altitude at L or B , with the length of $L S$ or BS , will then give the vertical distance of the Sun S from E , or the place which is immediately underneath it. This distance will be thus found to be considerably less than 4,000 miles.

The following are the particulars of an observation made, a few years ago, by the officers engaged in the Ordnance survey. Altitude of the Sun at London $55^{\circ} 18'$; altitude taken at the same time, on the grounds of a public school, at Ackworth, in Yorkshire, $50^{\circ} 2'$; the distance between the two places in a direct line, as measured by triangulation, is 151 statute miles.

From these elements the true distance of the Sun may be readily computed; and proved to be under 4,000 miles! Since the above was written, an officer of the Royal Engineers, in the head-quarters of the Ordnance Survey, at Southampton, has furnished the following elements of observations recently made:-Southern Station, Sun's altitude, 45° Northern ditto, 38° Distance between the two stations, 800 statute miles. The calculation made from these elements gives the same result, viz., that the actual distance of the Sun from the Earth is less than 4,000 miles. The same method of measuring distances applies equally to the Stars; and, it is easy to demonstrate, beyond a possibility of doubt (so long as assumed prerequisites are excluded) that all the visible objects in the firmament are contained within the distance of 6,000 miles."


A Typical Flat Earth Model.

Note the Antarctic wall, as it contains the waters



Isaac Newton's model (briefly before covering Rowbatham's famous Bedford river experiment) was based on the mass and size of celestial bodies and how it involved Galileo's Jupiter moons. He deduced that smaller bodies would orbit the larger ones, thus placing the "larger" sun in the middle of universe while the smaller earth, moon and planets orbited accordingly. In 1687 scholars accepted Newton's heliocentric model (helio, Greek pertaining to the sun) which was based on his mathematical formulation of a universal law of gravitation which causes a smaller mass to orbit a larger one. It made perfect sense as it does today; however, his law of gravitation has been questioned by Erik Verlinde, a respected theorist and professor of physics at the University of Amsterdam. Without getting too deep in his theory of gravity, he argued that gravity is a "consequence of the venerable laws of thermodynamics" (and hydrodynamics, perhaps, which I believe—there's water below and water above and the firmament divides both). He and a number of physicists say that we are looking at gravity the "wrong way" and there is something more basic from which gravity "emerges". He literally meant that gravity does not exist, as do many today who believe that Earth is static. Flat Earthers say gravity is not necessary; since the earth does not move, there is nothing needed to keep us firmly planted on our feet for the exception of our mass and Earth's atmospheric pressure of

14.7 pounds. Samuel Shenton created the International Flat Earth Research Society as a successor to the Universal Zetetic Society, but gravity was explained as the earth moving constantly "up" and this upward movement pushed all to the earth's surface.. I believe this was nonsense and that I believe they thought so themselves, to discredit the authenticity of Rowbatham's research. As a child, I have always believed that gravity kept us from falling off a spinning globe but knew it was only a theory, a hypothetical construct, nothing like the scriptures that reveal earth being immovable and on a foundation, as is the firmament.. Also, Satan is hiding



our Father's Word and tries to make us think that we are just a small speck in a vast universe, whirling around the edge of our galaxy. His minions, including the "keepers of modern cosmology," will continue this lie until Christ's arrival... Being a Rosicrucian, who believe they are chosen for the ability to communicate with angels (fallen angels?), Newton has produced many works that would be classified as occult studies. He spent more time on "rediscovering" the occult wisdom of the ancients. His inference that earth is an oblate spheroid, however, was confirmed by the geodetic measurements of Maupertuis, La Condamine and repeatedly uttered by our current astrophysicist, Neil deGrasse Tyson. When one looks at the image of earth midway to the moon, the earth is revealed as perfectly round, as seen from Apollo 11's command module's window (discussed later in chapter 2).

The Pythagorean formula for determining the curvature of the earth was countlessly used to show that such curvature was never revealed, assuming the earth to be a sphere whose circumference is 24,902 miles or 40,075 kilometers. Rowbatham's Old Bedford river experiment employed this formula, 8 inches per mile multiplied by the square of the distance. The first experiment at this site was conducted by Rowbotham in the summer of 1838. He waded into the river and used a telescope held 8 inches (20 cm)

above the water to watch a boat, with a flag on its mast 3 feet (0.91 m) above the water, row slowly away from him. He reported that the vessel remained constantly in his view for the full 6 miles (9.7 km) to Welney bridge, whereas, had the water surface been curved with the accepted circumference of a spherical Earth, the top of the mast should have been about 11 feet (3.4 m) below his line of sight. He published this observation using the pseudonym Parallax in 1849 and subsequently expanded it into a book "Earth Not a Globe" published in 1865. It was that there was no convexity of the water's surface. The formula used for the refraction of sun light is the same surveyors use today. They take an average— $1/7$ th of altitude of the object observed-- of the differences in refraction (due to density variances in earth atmosphere) into account and divide the $1/7$ th into the total objects height, leaving a true corrected amount for refraction. As we have been taught in school by placing a pencil in a glass of water and observe how the refraction of light in the water appears to have split the pencil in half, or moving the refracted portion of the pencil "up"; likewise, due to curvature, objects appear lower than they actually are, while refraction will make the object (boat in water) appear to be higher than it actually is. Since it

was found that the 6-mile stretch of the water's surface in the canal had no convection and the boat did not disappear, it was concluded that the earth to be flat. *Surveyors know of this formula but never use it because when they tried, the work had not been satisfactory, and "so irregular, that most imminent engineers have abandoned the practice of the forward leveling and allowing for convexity."* It was so important that the ordnance department of the government had to abandon the ideas of rotundity in a standing order of the House of the Lords in Rowbotham's life time.

As concerns the eclipses of the moon, Rowbotham employs the bible for evidence in which the moon has its own light (Gen, 1:16) and does not require a reflected sunlight of the moon's surface to shine at night. This blue light is so luminescent that any shadow would only appear as a light, brownish color but never blacking out the moon's intense light. Also, he has found that there are many instances where both an eclipsed moon and the sun were observed at the earth's horizon (taking into consideration refraction and shadow movement) These accounts were officially recorded, beginning with Pliny the Elder (23AD-79AD), in the years 1590, 1648, 1666, 1668,

1703 and up to 1837. The moon's light in 1848 was so bright, that many persons could not be persuaded that it was eclipsed. In 1860, Rowbatham recorded how sometimes during a total eclipse the moon would appear "quite obscure" in some parts of the surface and in other parts would exhibit a "high degree of luminosity." In an ancient scripture, "The Chronicles of Jarahmeel," it states that the eclipses are created by the moon's companions: "its clothing and its darkness. Westward they travel until aligned with the moon; eastward they travel until aligned with the moon, creating the signs upon the face of the moon as shadows, waxing and waning the moon's splendid light." Rowbatham's plane trigonometry used to determine distances of celestial bodies is further delineated in his research and can be easily downloaded as a free PDF for a further examination of this man's genius, while along adhering to God's Word and his "handiwork."

Last in the list of pre-twentieth century "explorers of the universe" is the incomparable Michelson-Morley 1887 experiment concerning the effects of "aether" on the speed of light, simply put. The experiment resulted in no discernible change in the light's speed and therefore concluded that either there was no aether (Einstein's belief) or there was no movement of the earth. In modern day cosmology what has been thought of as "empty space" is composed of nearly 94% of dark matter and energy [2a].

This "dark matter" is essentially the aether Michelson and Morley was seeking. Einstein's theory of relativity ruled out a stationary aether-it does not exist. His quote, "If the Michelson-Morley experiment had not brought us into serious embarrassment no one would have regarded the relativity theory as a (halfway) redemption." Had there been a discovery of this medium (aether) then one could deduce that perhaps the earth is stationary since there was no change in the speed of light detected by their instrumentation: The perpendicular and forward of the light beam's travel time were the same. In the Journal of Physical Mathematics, "Are we Wrong about the Michelson-Morley Experiment?" The following abstract and conclusions are included here:

The answer could be yes, because of a small detail that has been overlooked for decades and that was not known in 1905. Applying the Quantum Theory to the Michelson Morley experiment (MME), one can recognize that

there are no skewed light paths in the y-arm, perpendicular to the moving x-direction. The MME must be interpreted

differently. Therefore, the calculation of the time durations that photons take from the laser to the detector must be

changed with the outcome that the null result can only be achieved
 if there is no length contraction. The time dilation,
 measured in many experiments, must have another cause. This could
 be regarded as just another incompatibility
 between the Special Theory of Relativity and the Quantum Theory,
 but in this case it goes deeper. A time dilation
 without a length contraction would have great impacts to the Theo-
 ries of Relativity, though there are overwhelming
 confirmations by complex experiments, because the physical basis
 to the mathematical space-time modelling by the
 Lorentz transformation would be wrong. Several experiments with
 the newest technology are proposed to test this
 alternative view on this fundamental experiment.

Conclusion

If the photons are reflected in the moving mirrors by the rules of
 Quantum Theory, they are not deflected and if experiments 1-4 show
 that photons are independent of lateral moving sources and mirrors
 there is the obvious conclusion:

- The light-clock, a popular way to explain students the time
 dilation, doesn't work.

The Theories of Relativity are based on a wrong skewed line in
 the drawing of the Michelson-Morley experiment.

- This would have so far-reaching consequences that it should be
 tested as soon as possible.

This experiment, 2020, is to be considered if one should choose to apply further research in the flat earth phenomenon. Remember who rules for the moment and how intelligent he is to counter any find you may deem to be real, for if you say white, he will show you black; if you find truth, he will turn it into a "conspiracy theory."

In summation, one of the biggest mysteries I found rather puzzling, is the eclipses of the moon; the eclipses of the sun is obviously by the moon as it is considered by all that it is a sphere, but with its own god-given light. Since the moon is spherical, it would be impossible to reflect the Sun's light, full face, for only a flat or convex surface can fully reflect light. Rowbatham has quoted many esteemed astronomers findings of a semi-transparent "something" with a defined mass having a distinct and circular outline. And, in a report of the Academy of Sciences (1846 & 1847) the director of one of the French observatories reveal that "at least one non-luminous body of considerable magnitude is attached as a satellite to this earth." Furthermore, the British Association for the advancement of science has stated, "The opinion was gaining ground that many of the fixed stars were accompanied by companions emitting no light;" this would explain

the 'changeable stars' which disappear for a time, or are eclipsed.

Bessel, the greatest astronomer of that period (1784-1846) has stated that he believes the double stars Procyon and Sirius, each have an invisible star." Why this is not common knowledge and in our books today, remains another mystery to the cosmological puzzle. Astronomy students today have never had to study the experiments of Michelson and Morley because it was not included in the university's curriculum, even though it was a "failed experiment" according to Einstein. Philolaus' "central fire" replaced the earth's central location to an orbiting planet. This central fire is clearly analogous to the birth of a human being and the birth of the cosmos. "The embryo is conceived of as composed of the hot and then as drawing in cooling breath immediately upon birth, just as the cosmos begins with the heat of the central fire." In my view, I see the earth as the home and womb for mankind, a world similar to a large terrarium. The transposition of earth from the "center" sparked the idea of the Sun being the center and therefore the birth of a total heliocentric system with everything orbiting thereof. Rowbatham's model of the sun and moon orbiting in concentric circles, with a reduced distance and size, was made possible by plane trigonometry without theories. These same measurements can be repeated today

and conclude with the same measurements, just like Pythagoras' accurate 600-year prediction of the moon's eclipses which was possible via his keen observation and his skills in mathematics—no theories what soever. The diameters of both sun and moon have been reported by flat earthers to be only 33 miles. So, at 4k miles up, a 33-mile wide sun with its heat source, perform what is observed today? *The dome of the firmament could act as a parabolic surface (concave from beneath) which could amplify the sun's light and heat much like a headlight on an automobile and heater, respectively.* It seems very possible and more logical than the sun being 93 million miles away rendering the same effect. The bible makes more sense than the theories of man and many sensible people take it literal and live by its rule and law just like the luminaries above us. As far as the Alien/Angel phenomena, there is no where in the bible that says there are aliens living on other planets, remembering that planets exist for man, not for God. It is theorized that Cain was the son of the Serpent for Cain is not included in Adam's ancestry [3]. Noah married Naamah whose brother was Tubal-Cain, a relative of Cain. If the serpent seed exist today, who are they? I suspect that they control the world under the guise of being completely human, how else could one explain *secret* societies Our technology, I believe, is from these intelligent but evil hybrid angels, and their followers (evil rul-

ers of nations), are preparing for the great battle of Armageddon—remember Wernher's warning about the alien agenda.? Lastly, with regard to God's literal word on how to construct the Tabernacle in Exodus 25-27, a new model shows that the current rectangular model has leftover materials which should not be. The model espoused by Andrew Hoy - Project 314,[4] has a dome-shaped tent over the entire area of the tabernacle - hence pi 3.14 This dome is more practical and makes a lot more sense than the rectangular one. To know more about this amazing find, go to my links at this book's end [5]. The heliocentric model from here, is alive and totally accepted and "supported" by today's Science...well, almost.

The next chapter reveals man's exploration and curiosity of our "planet" while controlling the masses perception of what is "real." Let's expose Scientism for what it represents, as it hides behind a real science and contorts what is naturally real in the name of science - that science being the Bible and honest scientists like you and me whose only quest is for Truth (as it applies here), similar to Samuel Rowbatham's scientific approach via searching and examining by the process of inquiry instead of supposition. Many extra biblical material is available for one to read and further eluci-

date God's word. I believe that many, but not necessarily all are important and should have not been "blacklisted" or excluded from the collection of God's Word. One in particular is the book of Enoch, mentioned in the epistle of Barnabas (16:4). Many of the early Church Fathers, however, wrote that this book was rejected by the Jews for it contained prophecies pertaining to Christ. Predating Jesus 2-3 hundred years, (the book Enoch) he is shown by an angel, the earth and universe in a visual context and was told that he was witnessing the future of mankind, similar to the book of revelations. The book is often quoted by flat earthers, as revealing the actual circuits of the sun. Enoch is the great-grandfather to Noah and his book can be read in the Ethiopian bible. Another source, in the Nag Hammadi Codex, reads as follows:

THE CREATION OF PARADISE

"Then justice created the beautiful paradise. It is outside the circuit of the moon and the circuit of the sun in the luxuriant earth, which is in the east in the midst of stones. And desire is in the midst of trees, since they are beautiful and appealing. And the tree of immortal life, as it was revealed by the will of god, is in the north of paradise to give life to the immortal saints, who will come out of the fashioned bodies of poverty in the consummation of the age. Now, the color of the tree of life is like the sun, and its branches are beautiful. Its leaves are like those of the cypress, and its fruit is like clusters of white grapes. Its height rises up to heaven. And next to it is the tree of knowledge, possessing the power of god. Its glory is like the moon shining forth brilliantly, and its branches are beautiful. Its leaves are like fig leaves, and its fruit is like good, delicious dates. And this tree is in the north of paradise to raise up the souls from the stupor of the demons, so they might come to the tree of life and eat its fruit and condemn the authorities and their angels.

The highlighted area reveals that both sun and moon orbit earth.

1862 -1969 Redefining the Earth for the “Masses”

James Glaisher and Henry Coxwell were mentioned in Samuel Rowbatham's "The Earth is not a Globe" detailing their ascent in the earth's stratosphere in their hydrogen filled balloon. It was determined by Rowbatham that the earth's horizon appeared at eye level at all altitudes by both aeronauts. This information was vital to him and it confirmed the fact that there was no spherocity to the earth's surface. Their balloon rose dangerously to a height of 37 thousand feet (7 miles) and they were losing consciousness rapidly. They were able to recover and as their balloon was finally able to release the hydrogen from its mechanical device. A record set by these two brave men in 1882 was the first by any man on earth (the curvature of the earth can be witnessed at a minimum altitude of about 6.5 miles). There were no photos taken of Earth and Glaisher never mentioned any curvature at its horizon. There was no visible evidence of the aeronauts view of earth as being convex, for it was slightly concave, as determined by both Glaisher and Rowbatham. Jean Piccard stated that the earth appeared "flat" with its edges (earth's outer circumference)

turned up" He was the second human to have witnessed the shape of the earth, as his helium balloon reached an altitude of 9-10 miles or better, in the year 1931. Ostensibly, I believe, these explorers were performing scientific research of the upper atmosphere, especially in the case of Piccard's event, while wanting to settle the fact that the earth is a sphere (the commonly accepted view then and now). But the plot thickens as we have yet another exploration of the earth from the U.S. Army's Explorers I & II (see photo, pg.48) soon after Piccard and Admiral Byrd's Antarctica exploration from 1928-1930. What Did Byrd find there in Antarctica to make him want to explore for many years afterwards? There is a "conspiracy theory" that perhaps he found the edge of earth and the firmament's dome which holds the fixed stars, wandering stars (including perhaps asteroids and comets, the Sun and Moon), as it revolves above a stationary earth. Whatever is true, there are many theories as to his numerous visits, especially after "Operation Highjump" in 1946-47, including Nazi secret flying saucer bases and a hollow Earth. Many other explorations from various countries of both the North Pole and South, were happening before and during Byrd's operations, but his were the ones of the most importance and expense for the tax payer. Can you imagine why a whole fleet of naval ships

accompanied him to Antarctica?

All of this activity in Antarctica (still curiously being visited by figure heads from other nations) has been, for nearly 2 decades, under the guise of scientific exploration in lieu of a surreptitious military operation. In early 1942, the Germans were experimenting with rocket technology, a technology not dreamed of by other countries. There were many photos taken at an extreme altitude of 116 miles or perhaps better in 1946. Captured V2 technology was soon examined by the United States, headed by its inventor, Wernher Von Braun and the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA) in the late 40's on up; NACA became NASA, in 1958. Operation Dominic and Operation Fishbowl, in the early 60's, began its unprecedented series of atmospheric nuclear tests. Dominic (Latin for "Lordly") consisted of 36 tests and Operation Fishbowl, a part of Operation Dominic, entailed nuclear warheads that were detonated up to altitudes of 30-248 miles to evaluate "destructive capacities" on what, specifically? I found a time-lapse video of all nuclear detonations from 1945-1998, including all countries [6]; project Dominic and Fishbowl are readily available on the internet as a free PDF download, provided by our Government. The

immensity of these nuclear tests is mind-blowing, as if the Government realized that they were in a closed system and were attempting to escape.

Sputnik graced the skies and stars in 1957, about a couple of years before the Antarctic Treaty [7] and over a decade after the creation of the United Nations (the UN). The Soviets were now the new "space" explorers and their Sputnik satellite "orbited" the earth for 3 weeks before its batteries went dead and continued its orbit for a few more weeks before falling into the earth's atmosphere. Hollywood, (I believe was contracted by the U.S. government) began its barrage of Sci-Fi movies during this time and currently, to indoctrinate the masses that space travel is conceivable, possible and soon to become an alternate home from Earth: Space travel to what? Man's creation of the cosmos, what else! The Roswell incident, I believe, was just another ploy to convince us that Aliens may exist. A perfect timing and hotbed to spawn Aliens, Science Fiction and Heliocentrism, again, for the MASSES: Hollywood has done a good job convincing everyone that we are not "alone", that a new frontier awaits the curious mind to explore while escaping what is real, "reality", in a sense. The late Robert F. Kennedy, in his speech at Rice University in Houston Texas, promised the World that NASA will launch man to the Moon in the current decade. In 1959 we have launched the Luna satellite to the moon and Russia launched

its satellite to Mars about a year after his speech. Was NASA, prepared for this? Did we have the technology back then? Was the Van Allen Belt too dangerous to travel through, since it is hypothesized that it protects us from the Sun's deadly cosmic rays? My theory is that JFK probably knew that this was a near impossible task but wanted NASA and their "boys" to put up or shut up. He was against secret societies, especially the members of NASA who were chiefly of the brotherhood. Remember that all are heliocentrists and this was a charade, a slap in the face for all to see and foolishly believe that they had landed on the moon. Every nation who had espoused to have launched satellites to the "stars" were accessories before the fact and could not reveal the lies of NASA and their own Space Agency, least they reveal the UN's real purpose of hiding God's creation, that of a stationary, non-spherical and non-planet Terra Firma; hasn't Kennedy "ruffled enough feathers of the all mighty Eagle (not as noble as the Turkey, but a lot better looking) They say Bush's CIA was involved in his assassination but what about the power and influence of NASA themselves?--well maybe [8].

Was this photo, taken by Explorer II, from a fisheye lens or altered to appear as if the earth has curvature at its edge. The fisheye lens was invented in 1882, the same year of Glaisher's epic ascent.



Whistle blowers exist for two important facts: Lies can not exist without truth and truth is the Holy Spirit's radiance of love and reality. Most red-blooded Americans hate and despise a "rat," whistle blowers not excluded. I am split in the middle most of the time but in the case of Thomas Baron, (1938-1967) well, he is my "hero." You're thinking what does an inspector for NASA have to do with our Father's water bubble, but remember the immortal words of another of my heroes, Neil Armstrong, "...truth has many layers...". Thomas was considered a "rank and file" inspector and there were countless discrepancies in the overall safety practices of NASA, which he eventually leaked out to newsmen. Eventually Thomas' 55-page report grew into a 500-pager. North American and Baron testified before Congress. The sad conclusion here, is his report never got published and he and his family ironically died when their vehicle was struck by an oncoming train - a most horrible thing to happen [9]. Similarly, astronaut Gus Grissom complained numerous times of the sloppy workmanship of Apollo's command module; he is known to have attached a lemon on the craft's dashboard. Everyone should know about his tragic death along with his fellow astronauts, Ed White and Roger Chaffe, on January 27th, 1967. (Baron was discharged from North American, coincidentally, on that same year

and month-January 5th, 1967). A fellow North American employee, Mervin Holmburg, knew exactly what caused the fire (in the Apollo 1 command module) that killed Grissom, White and Chaffe, according to Baron's testimony. The following is an excerpt of the fire's cause:


"The review board noted a silver-plated copper wire running through an environmental control unit near the center couch had become stripped of its Teflon insulation and abraded by repeated opening and closing of a small access door. This weak point in the wiring also ran near a junction in an ethylene glycol/water cooling line which was known to be prone to leaks. The electrolysis of ethylene glycol solution with the silver anode was a notable hazard which could cause a violent exothermic reaction, igniting the ethylene glycol mixture in the Command Module's corrosive test atmosphere of pure, high-pressure oxygen."

Pure oxygen? Are they crazy or just down right evil. The cabin pressure was so high that the craft's hatch (swings inward) would be impossible to open. Grissom's and White's home were raided by NASA, before anyone knew about the Apollo incident, and confiscated journals and other records that could possibly disparage their reputation as a reputable Administration. After this "exposure to congressional questioning," one week later, his tragic accident occurred, that took him and the lives of his wife and daugh-

ter. Further research revealed that the bodies were cremated before a mandatory autopsy could be performed. Was it possible they were incapacitated before the accident; why would Baron have driven in front of a moving train, unless to commit suicide to avoid an uglier fate? Many other "convenient" and curious deaths have occurred since the advent of NASA's birth in 1959. Please read further into this as the information is available from our fearless leaders on social media - who want nothing but the truth. The pieces of the puzzle to the overall picture of hiding God is becoming ever-so clear as we continue our quest for "truth".

Did NASA show us how the astronauts escaped in case there was a life-threatening emergency at the lift off pad? I had not seen the BBC video, a somewhat sarcastic exposure to the labyrinth of NASA's machinations, but found it indirectly during my book research [10]. If you look at it, imagine astronauts escaping down this tube, which ends up in a concrete structure right below the rocket engines fired, during a normal, safe, liftoff to fool one into thinking that the astronauts are inside the craft. It fooled me but I began thinking about the rocket. I mean if it blew up during lift off, how can we account for the obvious death's of its "passengers?" There has been documentation of astronauts who have apparently died in accidents during

the Space Shuttle period, but years later, show up under a different name and a new commendable job title. This goes further in the "Rabbit Hole" but I want to stay focused with Terra Firma's topography more so than its interior, so to speak. *If man did not go to the moon and it was all a hoax, was this hoax due to the lack of time, resources and technology or of the possibility that the moon is not exactly what we've been told - its size, distance and obvious problems of ever orbiting such celestial, "wandering star"*



The above illustration shows what the aeronaut would observe if Earth was spherical, as the horizon would drop from his eye level. This is not seen in

today's photos of high altitude balloons, for the horizon is always straight, denoting a flat surface below.

NASA has continued to "hide God's creation" by using fisheye lenses on cameras to render flat earth as spherical as seen in all space shots of earth, My theory as to how they made this possible is by flipping a video of earth on its edge and applying the ultra angle lens (generally used for their unique, distorted appearance) to give flat earth these spherical, 3 dimensional images. The spinning of earth on its axis is simply duplicated as an aircraft travels from east to west while filming earth below. A photo shot of a suited Michael Collins, during a zero-gravity training aboard a padded aircraft, was duplicated as he space-walked during the Gemini 10's orbit of earth, pre-Apollo missions.. The photo was a reversed negative of the original shot and superimposed on the fake photo of him in space as illustrated in Ralph Rene's, self published book, "NASA Mooned America". A top-level Mensa member, he attempted to discredit the Apollo moon missions; and, at the same time, being discredited by Mensa and numerous shills who work in favor of NASA's control. He later committed suicide in 2008 but we all know what probably happened on that infamous day. I would advise one to

download the PDF of his book for a further read. Evidence of studio or staged filming of all moon missions and landings is also documented by credible journalists, expert photographers and searchers of the truth. Case in point is the incredible, and "smoking gun" documentary of Apollo 11's mission to the moon in Bart Sibrel 's film, "A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Moon" (title take off of a musical play, "A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Forum") [11]. It shows how the crew was faking the distance of Earth midway in a trans-lunar flight to the moon. The following is an excerpt from Rene's book explaining this trickery:

"On July 19, 1969, Aldrin, Armstrong and Collins were supposed to be half way to the Moon. However, the video footage (date stamped July 19, 1969) shows them in a low Earth orbit (still under the Van Allan Belts) taking trick photos of the Earth to "prove" they were half way to the Moon. When you are in low Earth orbit (a couple of hundred miles) the Earth almost completely fills the portholes. The only photographic proof they could offer during the early days of the mission was to show the Earth shrinking in the portholes as they progressed: When you are actually halfway from the Earth, its apparent diameter shrinks; however, the NASA video shows that Collins, Armstrong and Aldrin were actually "shrinking" the Earth. At first, they had the cam-

era lens near the porthole. Then they totally blacked out the ship (even the instrument lights) and began to slowly move the camera away from the porthole. Since the wall was now so dark we assumed that the wall was the port hole. In a few feet of the July 19 footage, we suddenly see the barely visible rim. We also see someone's arm accidentally get between the porthole and the camera lens. This proves that the camera is no longer close to the porthole. The footage is also in color after all the astro-nots involved (and NASA) swore that Apollo 11 carried no color cameras to the Moon. Thinking about this, it is one of the few times the NASA liars have told the truth. That capsule never carried a color camera to the Moon because it never went to the Moon. And there you are ... I did save the best for last".


Concerning studio evidence of the Apollo moon missions, this particular video shows what appears to be two stagehands picking something off the "moon's surface" [12]. I am not totally convinced that all studio videos were NASA's. I mean if they can fake it, so can others. No matter how real this video is, it never happened on the Moon. As far as studio locations go (not that this is of much importance) most video was taken beneath the NASA gantry at Langley AFB in Hampton, Va. (I was stationed there from 1972-

1976). Another curiosity is the pristine, clean landing pad of one of the many Lunar Excursion Modules (LEMs). The thrust of the Descent Propulsion system would have created a cloud of moon dust, as seen in the original and first moon landing, as it was slowing down to land safely and gently.

A clean LEM landing pad and no blast crater in the following photo



NO BLAST CRATER.



Inasmuch as NASA's exploits, for they are not the only "major players" for hiding the truth, I discovered a news paper article about the Smithsonian Institution's alleged destruction of hundreds of donated skeletal remains of Giants (another example of a whistle blower's quest for truth) Giants? Are they not mentioned in the bible, but of course they were. The fallen angels (Sons of God) were cast down with Lucifer and they "knew" the woman on earth and had children. These children, called the Nephilim, grew to a prodigious size and eventually ruled the "planet" until the great flood which killed all (supposedly), but Noah's family on the Ark. (including the bones of Adam). There are naturally hoaxes of similar remains that dilute the overwhelming veracity of these evil creatures. There has to be a task force of damage control on all events that lead to truth.;hoaxes are created by shills of disinformation, the minions of the evil ruler of earth. The hiding or destruction of evidence continues with the missing Apollo 11 telemetry and those "valuable" moon rocks that seemingly have disappeared from museums. I have learned that the moon rocks were actually from Antarctica and most have been analyzed as fake, if not all. The telemetry and most of the valuable research data have all been inadvertently erased, so they say. The discovery of a "radiation belt around the earth"

(10 years or so before the first moon landing) has become a key issue for NASA, for now they admit that we have not left low earth orbit (Bart Sibel's, "A Funny Thing Happened on the way to the Moon") due to the intensity of dangerous cosmic rays from our Sun. They claim the Apollo craft was not shielded but the new Orion spacecraft will soon address this problem. What is curious, not only did the Apollo crew go beyond the protective shield of the Van Allen Belt, but during the Apollo 11 mission, a record high solar flare activity was recorded but supposedly astronauts did not suffer from any radiation poison.

NASA "MOON" GANTRY TOWER AT LANGLEY AFB



NASA's Main Gantry and Gemini 6 revealing its antenna that should have burned up in its descent in earth's atmosphere: obviously dropped from an aircraft



36-FOOT SKELETAL REMAINS OF A GIANT FOUND IN SAUDI ARABIA




Research and Resources of a Geo-Static Local Universe

Remember those 7 wandering stars that the ancients referred to and now known as planets?...well let it be known that these stars are named after Greek gods and our seven-day week [13]. The venerable Sefer Yetzirah explains this detail as follows:

The cosmological process in its essence is a linguistic process based on the countless combinations of the letters. In the *Sefer Yetzirah* the 22 letters are divided in the three main groups. In the first one we find the three matrixes *alef*, *mem* and *shim* that, in turn, symbolize the three elements, air, fire and water from which everything originated. In addition, these three letters correspond to the three seasons of the year and to the three body parts: head, chest and stomach. The second group contains the seven double consonants (*bet*, *gimmel*, *dalet*, *kaf*, *pe*, *resh*, *tav*) through which the seven planets, the seven days of the week, the seven skies and the seven human orifices have been created.

The Planet Pluto has been omitted and Neptune and Uranus soon to follow as not being planets; a revisionist history indoctrination by the International Astronomical Union (IAU). It has always been written and indelibly stamped in ancient literature, especially extrabiblical sources, that those wandering stars are the Sun (Sunday), the Moon (Monday), Mercury, Jupiter, Venus, Mars and Saturn (Saturday). The earth is not included for the earth is not a planet, but a plane.




Earth is actually a Planate, having a plane or flat surface. Don't be alarmed about your indoctrinated memory, for if you give it some thought, think about this: if the shoe was on the other foot, whereby the masses

were indoctrinated that the earth was flat and someone told you that the earth is a spinning sphere on its axis, revolving around the sun and traveling over 66 thousand miles per hour and that all the fixed stars, sun, moon and earth are circling a vast cluster of stars called a Milky Way galaxy...would you readily believe it? And, who would take the time to investigate this seemingly bazaar convoluted, cosmological diatribe; I would and many more need to take the God-given time and begin the eradication of "their" indoctrination; that all stars will fall to earth, as the bible states, does not sound insane when you consider we are on a Geo-static earth; and, that the sun and moon were created later to serve us, as well as the "fixed stars" which assists our sailors during the night. Our bible does mention the fact that the earth is round; round as a ball or round as a disk? In The Book of Common Prayer, it say's "round world" but we all know that the Book of Common Prayer is not the Bible. The subject of rotundity, if taken as the earth being a sphere, goes against the measurements of "length, breadth (width) and ends" as found in the bible when speaking of the earth in that context. The Bible never uses the words circumference or diameter. In his book, "Astronomy and the Bible Reconciled", Vox, author of (A Christian Historical Poem: Queen Victoria) states, "In short, the assertion that the earth is a sphere is a mere assumption, worse than useless in a Scriptural sense; it is a stum-

bling-block to many who desire to be rightly guided. Both the Bible and sound common sense point equally in the opposite direction. The popular idea that, in the “Land of Topsy-turveydom,” as it is called, the Antipodes are walking with their feet opposite to ours, or, in other words, with their heads downwards, is ridiculous in the extreme; and the law of gravitation is an unsatisfactory explanation of the supposed phenomenon.”

Psalms 24:2 “For he laid the earth’s foundation on the seas and built it on the ocean depths.” To accept all the above about the earth’s shape, one has to only know that we have been lied to. Once you know that we have been indoctrinated with untruth under the guise of Science, one will come to their senses, their common senses. A few examples of earth with straight line horizons include Felix Baumgartner’s epic skydive from a helium balloon from the earth’s stratosphere, October 14th 2012, landing in New Mexico. A normal lens shot of earth from his port window reveals a straight horizon of earth; however once outside his craft just before his jump, a fisheye lens is used to depict the horizon as curved and convex, which is a total misrepresentation of how the earth should look. Another photo taken over 120k feet (22 plus miles up) reveals a straight horizon, at eye level, from a high altitude balloon. A painting of a square stationary earth also reveals straight lines...measurements of length, breadth and ends.

Round earth bordered by straight lines...length, breadth and ends?



Felix inside his balloon just before his skydive..notice the horizon taken with a normal lens with focal lengths between 40-58 millimeters



A fisheye, wide angle lens used to depict a spherical earth



The earth images, shown above, all reveal a straight line horizon suggesting that the earth is not a sphere until the use of a higher angle lens reveals otherwise. When Piccard stated that he saw earth as looking like a pizza at 10 miles altitude, is that the truth, or did he actually see no curvature of earth, but only a straight-line horizon? We will never know for sure but the Explorer II had photographic evidence of a curved horizon of earth (ref. pg. 48) It is very possible that this photo was taken with a wide angle lens or the photo was altered to give the appearance of curvature.



Three examples of earth's horizon from the surface to the highest mountain and ending in space, 20 plus miles up. It is stated in many articles that one can see the earth's curvature at 6.6 miles altitude. Passenger planes fly a little lower and one would not be able to discern a curvature. Do you see a curvature anywhere in the top photo?

James Glaisher's balloon observation of earth (1882) never mentioned a curved horizon, only a straight line horizon, always at eye-level regardless of altitude. So one fact thus far is that the earth is flat but its "ends" either reveal a curve or straight lines. It appears so far that the earth, using the Bible's terms of "length, breadth and ends", may be very similar to the image with the 4 angels at each corner, floating on a body of water (pg. 66).. Evidence of hiding a stationary earth is further corroborated when Einstein recapitulated his statement that "Aether" does not exist" to explain away the Michelson-Morley experiment that revealed no change in the speed of light, which would suggest that the earth is not moving, but only the stars above. His statement is as follows: *"...we may say that according to the General Theory of Relativity space, is endowed with physical qualities? in this sense, therefore, there exists an ether. According to the general theory of relativity, space without ether is unthinkable? for in such space there not only would be no propagation of light, but also no possibility of existence for standards of space and time (measuring-rods and clocks), nor therefore any space-time intervals in the physical sense."* [14]

Einstein killed then, but now aether is back to save relativity. Michelson-Morley's luminiferous aether has become a byword for failed ideas. Now it is being revived to explain dark matter and dark energy (my theory as well) and potentially unify physics.

On a closing experiment concerning the shape of the earth, (as it applies to the eclipses of the moon and its theorized invisible companions) hold a ball (this represents the spherical moon) and place it in front of a light angled behind you (the sun) and place a ruler, in a vertical position, between the light and ball and move it slowly across the face of the ball. You will see that the ball's convexity will bend the straight edge of the ruler's shadow into what appears to be a crescent. What this experiment reveals is that the earth (ruler) does not have to be spherical to cast a crescent shape shadow on the moon; nor do the invisible bodies which accompany celestial bodies. The following details the word "Circle" and how it relates to the Earth's /dome's "curvature:"

The word translated "circle" is (chug) and only occurs three times in the OT:

Job 22:14 where it describes the "vault" of heaven

Prov 8:27 - "When He [God] inscribed a circle on the face of the deep", i.e., created the horizon which appears as a circle surrounding the observer from a vantage point

Isa 40:22 - "circle of the earth".

There are two possible interpretations here: (chug) describes the horizon; (chug) describes the vault of the heaven above which appears like a dome (see Gen 1:6f).

Also note that (chug) is preceded by the adverb "above" suggesting that God sits above the vault of the heaven covering the earth. Thus, the ancients thought of the earth as a circular disc capped by the vault of the heavens as per Gen 1:6 and Job 22:14. Many commentaries (and lexicons) arrive at the same conclusion.

The circle of the earth, i.e., the vault of heaven over-arching the earth (Job 22:14; Proverbs 8:27).

Cambridge: the circle of the earth, i.e., the horizon, where earth and heaven meet (see Proverbs 8:27), "at the confines of light and darkness" (Job 26:10). The earth with its surrounding ocean is conceived as a flat disc, on which the arch of heaven comes down. The rendering "on the vault of the earth" (see Job 22:14, "vault of heaven," the same word) is possible, Verse 22. - It is he that sitteth upon the circle of the earth; rather, above the vault of the earth; above the vault of sky which seems to arch over the earth. As grasshoppers; i.e. minute, scarcely visible (comp. Numbers 13:33). That stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain. So in Psalm 104:2, only that

here the "curtain" is represented as one of thin gauze. The idea is common to Isaiah with Job (Job 9:8), Jeremiah (Jeremiah 10:12; Jeremiah 51:15), and Zechariah (Zechariah 12:1), and is a favorite one in these later chapters (comp. Isaiah 42:5; Isaiah 44:24; Isaiah 45:12; Isaiah 51:13).

The circle of the earth - Or rather, "above" (??? al) the circle of the earth. The word rendered 'circle' (chug) denotes "a circle, sphere, or arch"; and is applied to the arch or vault of the heavens, in Proverbs 8:27; Job 22:14. The phrase 'circle,' or 'circuit of the earth,' here seems to be used in the same sense as the phrase orbis terrarum by the Latins; not as denoting a sphere, or not as implying that the earth was a globe, but that it was an extended **plain** surrounded by oceans and mighty waters. The globular form of the earth was then unknown; and the idea is, that God sat above this extended circuit, or circle; and that the vast earth was beneath his feet. **I am of the opinion that the phrase "circle" is a definite description of the domed firmament which covers a non-spherical earth. As to the actual edges of the earth, whether curved or straight, still remains inconclusive in my overall research, but I am inclined to say that it should be seen by its length, breadth and ends as the bible explains it.**

Concerning the circumnavigation of the earth, it can be explained how ships navigate from their point of departure and safely return, as do the sun and moon as it completes its daily circuit above the surface of the earth. In David Wardlaw Scott's, "The Earth is not a Planet" he explains that since the time of (Magellan, 1519-1523), the circumnavigation of the globe has been performed at different times, by Sir Francis Drake, Lord Anson, Captain Cook, & Co. The voyages of the circumnavigators have been frequently adduced to prove that the Earth is a sphere; but, when we reflect that all the circumnavigators sailed westward round the globe (and not northward and southward, round it), they might have performed the same voyages had the Earth been in the form of a "drum or cylinder."

"The only kind of circumnavigation which could not happen on a flat-Earth is North/Southbound, which is likely the very reason for the heavily-enforced flight restrictions. The fact that there has yet to be a single verified North/South circumnavigation of Earth serves as standing proof the world is not a ball. There are no fixed "East" or "West" points just as there is no fixed "South." The North central Pole is the only proven fixed point on our flat Earth, with South being all straight lines outwards from the pole, East and West being concentric circles at constant right angles 90 degrees from the pole. A westerly circumnavigation of Earth is thus going around with Polaris continually on your right, while an easterly circumnavigation is going around with Polaris

always at your left. Magellan and others' East/West circumnavigations of Earth are often quoted as proof of the ball model. In actual fact, however, sailing or flying at rights angles to the North pole and eventually returning to one's original location is no more difficult or mysterious than doing so on a globe. Just as an architect's compass can place its center-point on a flat piece of paper and trace a circle either way around the "pole," so can a ship or plane circumnavigate a flat-Earth." Eric Dubay's "The Atlantean Conspiracy".

Flight patterns between cities on different continents over the southern hemisphere are very strange on a globe model but make perfect sense on a flat earth. Non-stop flights between major cities in the southern hemisphere appear to be relatively rare and somewhat difficult to book; instead, the vast majority of the flights in the southern hemisphere take inexplicable and very long-shaped detours to the northern hemisphere. Also, no GPS tracking is available for these southern hemisphere flights. Are the very few bookable non-stop flights between southern hemisphere cities even real or do they just end up with a "detour" after the flight is booked? Maximilian (pseudonym) allegedly recently went on one of the Qantas flights and his compass and other readings were very peculiar - speed, direction, etc. all seemed to be off track. It's difficult to find out for certain since the GPS

is also turned off for these flights. However, it should be noted that there is no agreed model of the flat Earth and so making conclusive determinations are still problematic. Watch this video by Mark Sergeant at:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A0FuO8lQV18> [15]

Out of sheer inquisitiveness , I was looking for various people of "importance" who may not have agreed to the heliocentric model, more importantly, its "founders," and found some interesting quotes from a few. I purposely wrote this down instead of you having to look it up from a bibliography or Link. I feel that indoctrination has not spoiled these great members of our society and I welcome you to release your own inhibitions and open your mind to what they are telling us:

<Johann Goethe>

"It may be boldly asked where can the man be found, possessing the extraordinary gifts of Newton, who could suffer himself to be deluded by such a hocus-pocus, if he had not in the first instance willfully deceived himself; Only those who know the strength of self-deception, and the extent to which I sometimes trenches on dishonesty, are in a condition to explain the conduct of Newton and of Newton' school. To support his unnatural theory Newton heaps fiction upon fiction, seeking to dazzle where he cannot convince. In whatever way or manner may have occurred this business, I must still say that I curse this modern history theory of Cosmology, and hope that perchance there may appear, in

due time, some young scientists of genius, who will pick up courage enough to upset this universally disseminated delirium of lunatics."

"Someday someone will write a pathology of experimental physics and bring to light all those swindles which subvert our reason, beguile our judgment and, what is worse, stand in the way of any practical progress. The phenomena must be freed once and for all from their grim torture chamber of empiricism, mechanism, and dogmatism; they must be brought before the jury of man's common sense."

<Roland Bragg>

"If a layman speaks the truth, little if anything is of any consequence; if a world leader speaks the same truth, a global economic upheaval would inevitably occur."

<John Lennon, Watching the Wheels>

"When I tell them that I'm doing fine watching shadows on the wall. Don't you miss the big time, boy. You're no longer on the ball."

<Nikola Tesla>

"Though free to think and act, we are held together like the stars in the Firmament, with ties inseparable. These ties can not be seen but we can feel them."

<George Orwell>

"It will be seen that my reasons for thinking that the earth is round are rather precarious ones. Yet this is an exceptionally elementary piece of information. On most other questions I should

have to fall back on the expert much earlier, and would be less able to test his pronouncements. And much the greater part of our knowledge is at this level. It does not rest on reasoning or on experiment, but on authority. And how can it be otherwise, when the range of knowledge is so vast that the expert himself is an *ignoramus* as soon as he strays away from his own specialty? Most people, if asked to prove that the earth is round, would not even bother to produce the rather weak arguments I have outlined above. They would start off by saying that 'everyone knows' the earth to be round, and if pressed further, would become angry. ... This is a credulous age, and the burden of knowledge which we now have to carry is partly responsible."

◁Wernher von Braun▷

"It is commonly believed that man will fly directly from the earth to the moon, but to do this, we would require a vehicle of such gigantic proportions that it would prove an economic impossibility. It would have to develop sufficient speed to penetrate the atmosphere and overcome the earth's gravity and, having traveled all the way to the moon, it must still have enough fuel to land safely and make the return trip to earth. Furthermore, in order to give the expedition a margin of safety, we would not use one ship alone, but a minimum of three ... each rocket ship would be taller than New York's Empire State Building [almost $\frac{1}{4}$ mile high] and weigh about ten times the tonnage of Moon Queen Mary, or some 800,000 tons." Wernher von Braun, Father of Nazi V-2 Rockets, Father of the Apollo space program, writing in *Conquest of the Moon*."

**A
S
T
H
E
C
R
O
W
F
L
I
E
S
O
N
A
F
L
A
T
E
A
R
T
H**

From Cape Town South Africa to Sydney Australia



This is how they show you the flight path

The distance from Cape Town to Sydney is

"6,837 miles
over the water ignoring winds, air traffic and..

**RESTRICTED
FLIGHT ZONES "**

Actual Flight Path:

Cape Town to Dubai: 4,752 miles- Dubai to Sydney: 7,487 miles.
A total of 12,239 miles. **5,402 miles more....**



Why not stay in the Southern Hemisphere and fly due East?

Why travel far over the equator just to go further back South?

"There are NO DIRECT FLIGHTS
from Cape Town to Sydney"

The Shortest distance between two points is a straight line

S ON A FLAT EARTH



United Nations
Official LOGO

33 segments
33 degrees
of Freemasonry.

only
masons
were
on the
APOLLO
MISSIONS

There are
No real
Photographs
of the FULL


CIRCUMFERENCE
of the Earth from
space even after 50
years of so-called
Space Exploration....

MAP USED BY FLAT EARTHERS

Moon

Sun

*An Ancient Hebrew Rendition of
the Earth and the Firmament*



Postlude

As I digress from all that I have written, I have discovered hidden truths that while germane to my overall research, they stand alone, static and frozen in a box without cause or relevance to anything in particular until they are released and unfettered by one's quest for the "light of truth" When searching for this "truth", synchronicity shines through a veil of supposed reality with whispering hints of what is "real" by tapping my shoulder, offering confirmation to my extreme and patent thirst for a universal truth (as my limited vocabulary for now will allow, ha, ha) as if saying, "you're on the right track." In Spirit and humbleness, (i) am not much concerned about the shape, position, or motion of anything, most importantly Terra Firma; but, what is important though, is the acceptance of biblical astronomy, not to exclude its mother, astrology, and eradicate the indoctrination of sun-worshiping theories that are not founded on solid ground and are hiding its pseudoscience (Scientism) under the veil of Science.

I want to elaborate on several key salient points that I have found most elucidating, but at the same time, more aware of the dark hand that controls and constricts man's quest for a higher and real existence. Firstly, I



will talk a little about a particular secret society which I believe is behind the "new Astronomy" of Copernicus, Newton and, yes, Albert Einstein [16].

The Rosicrucian's count Isaac Newton, Albert Einstein, Sir Francis Bacon, Nicolaus Copernicus and Benjamin Franklin among its illustrious members. It is said that Manuel Noriega possibly is a Rosicrucian, and Sirhan Sirhan, the assassin of Robert Kennedy, was also said to be involved with the mystical theories of the Rosicrucian Society and Copernicus. To be sure, any person or persons, any association (group or society), administration or government that belongs to any secret society, should be questioned and monitored. Again, under the guise of angelic assistance, they make profound statements and practices which is antithetical to the Word. Remember the Fallen Angels; they give the good Angels a bad reputation and they have infiltrated our matrix of life in every facet, fashion and "form." What is white is black and visa versa, according to the CIA. The Sun and Earth shifting the center piece, not by the hand of our Father, but by those who follow a false God. The Earth can not orbit something that has not been already created, period; it does not need to move to receive the energy from the stars, moon and sun. These energy spirits are essentially subservient to Terra Firma: some are invisible, many are seemingly "fixed" and others appear to follow a given course laid out by our Father and his angels. The 7

wandering stars created, in some instances, a Fibonacci pattern such like the movement of Venus. To know about our Father's universe, is to know who and why we exist and from where that existence started. It is not about building a space ship and killing aliens from other distant star systems or inter-dimensional entities. The movie "Killers from Outer Space" with Peter Graves, should be titled, "Killers from Earth." What does it take to turn the earth back around to its senses. A few have tried but died honorably in the fulfillment of what is real—its been that way and shall continue until the Light and Word of our Father descends from the Heaven above. Only then, can the evil empire be shackled for a season and then destroyed, as the countering and push and pull mechanism need no longer apply, for we all will be changed from the corporeal life and enter a new and better life of spiritual peace forever.

Curvature and straight lines of earth's horizons is an issue I had not considered, believing that the earth was circular and measured by its circumference, radius and diameter. The only evidence of a curved, spherical earth I know of are images seen through a wide-angle lens. The high altitude balloons of today reveal a straight, and seemingly endless horizon at an eye-level perspective, never descending as one goes higher. Where are the photos of Jean Piccard's "curved" flat earth with its edges turned up.?

Conversely, the curved horizon on page 48, taken by our government, was probably forged and perhaps may be proven that it was, with the aid of current technology, such as Adobe Photoshop. For instance take a look at these two images of "Earth Rise" from the Moon:



One can see the cut and paste image of earth, similar to the cut and paste image of Oswald's head while posing with his mail order 6.5x52mm Carcano Model 91/38 infantry rifle with a scope. He is seen at the front entry of the school book depository building; someone had taken a photo of him during his visit to Dallas Texas, November, 22nd, 1963. You can see him looking towards his right as he was exiting the building while Kennedy's Limousine passed by. The photo of Oswald can be seen in link #8 in "List of Links."

Of all the Philosophers that I have included in my book, Aristotle believed the earth was not a planet, and stationary. There has been literature, however, to defame his name and credibility for it is found that he was extremely prejudice of women and was labeled as a racist. This however does not take away his research nor theories that earth is static. With the advent of "Cancel Culture" his name will be erased from today's and tomorrow's history books as are many more to come. I am split as to the Cancel Culture: I do not consider myself a racist nor a misogynous person, and, to that end, I agree with taking him out of the "Lime Light". His contribution to astronomy, unfortunately, will not be remembered by our future generation of historians and astronomers; nor has the Michelson-Morley experiment been taught to today's students, that it inadvertently discovered

that our earth is stationary; so, to this end, I cannot agree. It is just another way to erase truth, again, under the guise of discrimination control.

On closing, I want to share some interesting passages from " Book of the Bee" [17]:

Chapter II Of the Creation of the Seven Natures
(Substances) in Silence: "WHEN God in His mercy wished to make known all His power and His wisdom, in the beginning, on the evening of the first day, which is Sunday, He created seven natures (substances) in silence, without voice. And because there was as yet none to hear a sound, He did well to create them in silence, that He might not make anything uselessly; but He willed, and heaven, earth, water, air, fire (Fire being perhaps the radiation that Piccard measured while in his balloon studying the earth's stratosphere) and the angels and darkness, came into being from nothing."

Chapter III Of Earth, Water, Air, and Fire "THE earth was tôhu we-bôhu, that is to say, it was unarranged and unadorned, but plunged in the midst of the waters. The waters were above it, and above the waters was air, and above the air was fire. The earth is by nature cold and dry. Dry land appeared on the third

day, when the trees and plants were created; and the waters were separated therefrom on the second day, when the firmament was made from them. Water is by nature cold and moist. As touching the Spirit which was brooding upon the face of the waters, some men have ignorantly imagined it to have been the Holy Spirit, while others have more correctly thought it to have been this air (of ours). Air is by nature hot and moist. Fire was operating in the upper ether, above the atmosphere; it possessed heat only, and was without luminosity until the fourth day, when the luminaries were created."

Chapter IV Of Heaven "HEAVEN is like a roof (dome) to the material world, and will serve as the floor of the new world It is by nature shining and glorious, and is the dwelling-place of the invisible hosts(companions). When God spread out this firmament, He brought up above it a third part of the waters, and above these is the heaven of light and of the luminaries. Hence people say 'the heaven, and the heaven of heavens;' for we call both the firmament and the waters which are above it 'heaven.' Some consider that the verse 'Let the waters which are above the heavens praise the name of the Lord' refers to the holy angels and to our Lord's humanity; but neither the Church nor the orthodox teachers accept."

Chapter X Of the Making of the Luminaries

On the fourth day God made the luminaries--sun, moon, and stars--of three substances, air, light, and fire. He took aerial material and prepared vessels like lamps, and mixed fire with light, and filled them. And because in the nature of fire there was no light, nor heat in that of light, the fire imparted heat to the light, and the light gave luminosity to the fire; and from these two were the luminaries--sun, moon, and stars--fabricated. Some say that the luminaries were made in the morning, that the sun was placed in the east, and the moon in the west; while others say that they were made in the evening, and that the sun was placed in the west, and the moon in the east; and therefore the Jews celebrate the fourteenth in the evening. Others say that all the luminaries when they were created were placed in the east; the sun completed his course by day, while the moon waited until evening, and then began her course. The path of the luminaries is beneath the firmament, and they are not fixed as men have foolishly stated, but the angels guide them. Mâr Isaac says, 'The sun performs his course from the east to the west, and goes behind the lofty northern mountains the whole night until he rises in the east.' And the philosophers say that during the night the luminaries perform their course under the earth.

Here, the sun's direction over earth is likened to the hand on a clock, circling (orbiting) around its center hub (north pole); when the sun is at 12:00, it is hidden behind the lofty northern mountains and reappears as it slowly circles, clockwise in concentric circles. View this from a "birds-eye" pov.

List of Links

[1] <https://shatteredparadigm.blogspot.com/2008/05/fallen-angel-phenomenon-nephilim-aliens.html?m=1?>

[2] <https://archive.org/details/ZeteticCosmogony> (sun and moon distances from earth)

[2a] https://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2006-08/ns-ert082306.php

(ETHER replaces dark matter)

[3] https://youtu.be/___jUZGBL_fE

(Cain Serpent Seed)

[4] <https://youtu.be/G0Pz4TMl6ps>

(Tabernacle. Exodus 25-27)

[5] <https://youtu.be/MUQMtE-iHdM>

[6] <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAnqRQg-W0k>

(Time lapse of nuclear test/detonation. 1945-1998)

[7] <https://www.nsf.gov/geo/opp/antarct/anttrty.jsp> (Treaty Dec 1959)

[8] <https://youtu.be/gTxB8W9wrtk>
(JFK II)

[9] <https://history.nasa.gov/Apollo204/barron.html> (Thomas Barron)

[10] <https://youtu.be/pLiAwSKkm6k>
(Escape Plan)

[11] <https://youtu.be/bdQHKf48Mfw>
(A funny thing...)

[12] <https://youtu.be/fFs-iNNfU1I> (2 Stagehands)

[13] https://www.sefaria.org/Sefer_Yetzirah?lang=bi

[14] <https://www.readkong.com/page/einstein-s-ether-f-why-did-einstein-come-back-to-the-5353789>

[15] <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A0FuO8lQV18> (airline flights)

[16] <https://archive.seattletimes.com/archive/?date=19901003&slug=1096412>
(Einstein Rosicrucian)

[17] <https://www.sacred-texts.com/chr/bb> (Book of the Bee)

[*]<https://roland3.bandcamp.com> (My songs: God's Water Bubble & Fix My Wandering Star)

Man's biggest blunder are assumptions...that the stars are suns millions of miles away

Dedication

This book would not have been written without the expressed guidance of the holy spirit, the love & patience of my Christian wife, Suzanne, and the understanding and Christian values of my siblings, Lois, Jesse and the Johnson Family; and, encouraged by the wisdom and compassion of Jerry Johansen, the "WhyMaster" of the entire Tertiumquid Reality.

The Apollo 8 crew saw many stars during its orbit around the moon; the Apollo 11 crew, specifically Collins, its pilot, saw no stars during the entire moon mission.

Steven Roland Brooks [aka, Roland Bragg]

4/7/2021

<God Bless All>